

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/722,648 | 11/25/2003 | Frank L. Rees | Greene-P1-03 | 7313 |
| 28710 PETER K. TR | 7590 02/27/2007 ZVNA FSO | | EXAMINER | |
| P O BOX 7131 | | | LOBO, IAN J | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60680 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3662 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTO | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/27/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | . Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/722,648 | REES, FRANK | L. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | lan J. Lobo | 3662 | | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply | tion appears on the cove | r sheet with the correspondence | address | | | |
| WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | LING DATE OF THIS CO 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how cation. ory period will apply and will expire b, by statute, cause the application to | OMMUNICATION. rever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 22 November 2006. | | | | | |
| , — | • |)☐ This action is non-fin | al. | • | | | |
| ′= | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | · | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-117</u> is/are pending in the ap | oplication. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-60,62,63 and 66-117</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election require | ement. | , | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9)□ - | The specification is objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | | |
| • | Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | | jected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| • | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th | e correction is required if the | ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 | CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The oath or declaration is objected to b | y the Examiner. Note the | attached Office Action or form | PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) | · foreign priority under 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the Internationa | • | ' '' | | | | |
| * S | ee the attached detailed Office action f | or a list of the certified co | opies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment | (c) | | | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) \square | Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notica | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC |)-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 5) <u> </u> 6) <u> </u> | Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/722,648

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sen et al ('081) when taken in view of the Rees patent ('760).

Per claim 1, the patent to Sen et al discloses a method of identifying an object using nonlinear acoustics.

The difference between claim 1 and the Sen et al system is the instant claim specifies producing the nonlinear acoustic effect by "using multiple projectors driven by a synthetic spectrum".

The patent to Rees (see col. 15, line 58 – col. 16, line 4) teaches increased acoustic enhancement by transmitting a synthetic spectrum waveform using a multiple set of phase locked, pulsed acoustic carrier waveforms each emitted form individual projectors.

In view of the increased acoustic enhancement, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sen et al by producing of the non-linear acoustic effect by transmitting a synthetic spectrum waveform using multiple projectors. Claim 1 is so rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,648

Art Unit: 3662

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 61, 64 and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.3

First, applicant argues that the Sen et al patent does not disclose or show the claimed "secondary wavelet", "the receiving" and "processing", as claimed. This is not agreed with. Sen et al discloses "backscattering of the non-linear acoustic signals", "their detection" and "processing" (see col. 4, line 48+, col. 6, lines 9-49 and col. 10, lines 50-55).

Applicant then argues that the contended combination would render the cited art inoperable for their respective purposes. This is also not convincing since the mere substitution of one non-linear acoustic source (Rees) for another (Sen et al) would not render inoperable the system of Sen et al. Further, such a substitution would not change the "principles of operation" of the Sen et al system.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

Application/Control Number: 10/722,648

Art Unit: 3662

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the increased acoustic enhancement, as suggested by Rees, is motivation.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to lan J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3662

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lan J. Lobo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662